

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/889,015

Applicant(s)

SCHALL ET AL.

Examiner

C. SAYALA

Art Unit

1761

All Participants:(1) C. SAYALA.(2) Mr Scott Cox.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that claims 34-36, previously indicated as allowable, in fact upon reconsideration, would be rejected over Lemke et al. and US Patents 5149549, 5639492, DE 2980635, & EP 0721741. Examiner was authorized to cancel these claims to allow the remaining claims to issue. Since applicant's response to the paper filed on 5/4/04 has not been received/entered by the Office, examiner was authorized to cancel claims 1-12. Examiner was also authorized to correct a typographical error in claim 14, as shown in the examiner's amendment. .